N.C.P.I.--Civil 106.66 Motor Vehicle Volume Page 1

PROPERTY DAMAGES--NO MARKET VALUE, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT--RECOVERY OF INTRINSIC ACTUAL VALUE.

NOTE WELL: Use this instruction where damages measured by market value would not adequately compensate the plaintiff and repair or replacement would be impossible (as where items such as a family portrait are destroyed) or economically wasteful (as where obsolete property is damaged beyond economically feasible repair). 1

The plaintiff is entitled to recover the actual value of his property immediately before it was damaged (less the salvage value, if any, that it had after its damage). The actual value of any property is its intrinsic value; that is, its reasonable value to its owner.<sup>2</sup> In determining the actual value of the plaintiff's property, you may consider:

[the original cost of (labor and materials used in producing) the (specify property)]

[the age of the (specify property)]

[the degree to which the (specify property) has been used]
[the condition of the (specify property) just before it was damaged]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>William F. Freeman, Inc. v. Alderman Photo Co., 89 N.C. App. 73, 365 S.E.2d 183 (1988). Even though an item has no measurable market value when tortiously destroyed, it nonetheless may have intrinsic value to its owner, which is recoverable.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Id.

N.C.P.I.--Civil 106.66 Motor Vehicle Volume Page 2--Final Page

PROPERTY DAMAGES--NO MARKET VALUE, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT--RECOVERY OF INTRINSIC ACTUAL VALUE. (Continued).

[the uniqueness of the (specify property)]

[the practicability of [repairing] [reconstructing] the (specify property)]

[the cost of replacing the (specify property) (taking into account its depreciation; that is, the degree to which it had been used up or worn out with age)]

[the insured value of the property]<sup>3</sup>
[the opinion of the plaintiff as to its value]
[the opinion of any experts as to its value]
[state other appropriate factors supported by the evidence<sup>4</sup>].

You will not consider any fanciful, irrational or purely emotional value that ( $specify\ property$ ) may have had.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>William F. Freeman, Inc., 89 N.C. App. at 77, 365 S.E.2d at 186.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Other factors could include the earnings generated by the property, the capitalized value of those earnings, the market value (where there is a market at some other place) and cost of transportation, the market value where there will be a market at some other time (such as for crops, for which cost of harvesting, etc. would also be a consideration). See Freeman, supra, and Thomason v. Hackney and Moale Co., 159 N.C. 299, 74 S.E. 1022 (1912).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Freeman, supra. See also Thomason, supra ("damages which are merely imaginary or have no real or substantial existence, should not be allowed").